March 1, 2004

Mary L. Cottrell, Secretary
MA Department of Telecommunications and Energy
One South Station
Boston, MA 02202

Re: <u>Bay State Gas Company CY 2003 Service Quality Report, D.T.E. 04-12</u>

Dear Ms. Cottrell:

Enclosed please find Bay State Gas Company's ("Bay State" or "Company") CY 2003 Service Quality Report ("CY 2003 SQ Report"). This SQ Report reflects the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy's ("Department") directives set forth in its February 25, 2004, memorandum regarding the appropriate filing format for service quality reports ("Memorandum").

The Company's CY 2003 SQ Report has been organized in the following manner:

Section One: Form A

Page 1 – Penalty Provisions Requirements Page 2 – Additional Reporting Requirements

Section Two: Historic Information

Page 1 – Summary of BSG SQ Performance – Penalty Provisions

Page 2 - Summary of BSG Capital Spending

Page 3 - Summary of BSG Unaccounted For Gas

Page 4 – Summary of BSG/NUI Staffing

Page 5 – Summary of BSG Customer Satisfaction Survey Results

Section Three: CY 2003 Back-up Data

Page 1 –TSF (Non-Emergency and Emergency)

Page 2 - Service Appointment Kept

Page 3 - On-Cycle Meter Reads

Page 4 - Consumer Division Case

Page 5 - Billing Adjustments

Page 6 - Lost Time Accident Rate and Restricted Work-Day Rate

Page 7 - Response to Odor Calls

Page 8 - Consumer Survey

Page 9 - Customer Service Guarantees

Appendix A: CY 2003 Capital Spending Detail

The Company has met all of its targets associated with penalty-related service quality measurement categories. Therefore, Bay State has not incurred any penalties during the CY 2003 Reporting Period.

Please date stamp a copy of this letter for our files, and return in the enclosed envelop. Also, please feel free to contact me at (508) 836-7267 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. Bryant

cc: Jody Stiefel, Esq. (one copy)
Glenn Shippee (six copies)
Carol Wasserman, DOER
Joseph Rogers, Esq.
Patricia M. French, Esq.

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section One

BAY STATE GAS COMPANY FORM A

CY 2003 Historical Data Cross Reference List		Years in Database 1/	Mean and Benchmark	Performance in 2003	Comments
Section 3, Page 1	Telephone Answering Factor Within 30 Seconds (%)	5	Mean - 69.3% Benchmark - 64.0%	73.0%	
Section 3, Page 1	Telephone Answering Factor Within 20 Seconds (%)	1	Mean - 67.8%	70.7%	The Company began collecting data on this measure during 2002.
Section 3, Page 1	Emergency Answering Within 30 Seconds (%)	5	Mean - 96.8% Benchmark - 94.7%	96.9%	
Section 3, Page 1	Emergency Answering Within 20 Seconds (%)	1	Mean - 91.4%	93.5%	The Company began collecting data on this measure during 2002.
Section 3, Page 2	Service Appointments Kept (%)	6	Mean - 97.5% Benchmark - 96.4%	99.3%	
Section 3, Page 3	On-Cycle Meter Reads (%)	10	Mean - 89.6% Benchmark - 86.6%	95.3%	
Section 3, Page 4	Consumer Division Cases	10	Mean - 1.6 Benchmark - 2.0	1.34	
Section 3, Page 5	Bill Adjustments (\$/1000 customers)	10	Mean - \$116.42 Benchmark - \$180.00	\$57.10	
Section 3, Page 6	Lost Time Accident Rate (# of acc/200,000 employee hours)	8	Mean - 3.84 Benchmark - 4.70	4.03	
Section 3, Page 7	Response to Odor Calls (%)	n/a	Target - 95%	97.7%	Historic information is not applicable as the benchmark is set by the DTE. Therefore, no mean was calculated.

1/ See Section Two - Page 1 for a summary of BSG SQ performance history for each of these penalty-related measures.

Notes:

BAY STATE GAS COMPANY

FORM A (CONTINUED)

CY 2003	ADDITIONAL REPORTING	Years in	Mean and	Performance in	Comments
Historical	REQUIREMENTS	Database	Benchmark	2003	
Data Cross					
Reference					
List					
Section 2,	Staffing Levels	10	Mean: 886	592	Per the Department's Letter Order dated May 28,
Page 4					2002, pp. 3-4, no benchmark was established for this
					measure by the DTE. The Company notes that the reported staffing levels ending 12/31/03 do not
					reflect employees who still work in New England and
					are now Corporate Service employees, positions that
					were eliminated in New England and now performed
					in other locations, or temporary employees.
Section 3,	Restricted Work Day Rate	n/a	n/a	3.97	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
Page 6	(# of acc/200,000 employee	11/4	11/4	0.51	currently available. Therefore, no mean has been
	hours)				calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for
					this measure by the DTE.
1/	Property Damage > \$5K	n/a	n/a	0	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
	(#)				currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for
					this measure by the DTE.
Section 2,	Unaccounted For Gas	12	Mean: 384,587	967,263	No benchmark was established for this measure by
Page 3	(Mcf)				the DTE.
Section 2,	Capital Expenditures (# of	10	Total Mean:	Total: \$27,625,298	The Company has provided historic information on
Page 2 & Appendix A	projects and total \$)		\$39,959,290 Reliability-Related	Reliability-Related: \$8,661,352	dollars spent associated with total capital invested and capital invested related to system-reliability.
Appelluix A			Mean: \$12,377,235	\$6,001,332	Please see both Section 2, Page 2 and Appendix A
					for additional information related to historic capital
					expenditures.
2/	Spare Component &	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
	Inventory Policy				currently available. Therefore, no mean has been
					calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
3/	Customer Surveys (scale of	i 1-7):	I		1110 1110 dod 10 b) 1110 b 1 2 1
Section 3,	Random	6	n/a	6.2	Only six years worth of historic data is available for
Page 8					this measure, and both the questions and the scaling
					system are different for those historic surveys than the current requirements. Therefore, no mean has
					been calculated. Also, no benchmark was
					established for this measure by the DTE.
Section 3,	Calls	n/a	n/a	6.2	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
Page 8					currently available. Therefore, no mean has been
1					calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for
4/	Accidents	n/a	n/a	0	this measure by the DTE. Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
7,	riodiadilla	11/4	11/4	· ·	currently available. Therefore, no mean has been
1					calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for
					this measure by the DTE.
Section 3,	Customer Service	n/a	n/a	127;\$3,175	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
Page 9	Guarantees (#; total \$)				currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for
1					this measure by the DTE.
					uno mododio by the DTE.

Notes:

- 1/ No backup data has been included in the CY 2003 SQ Report related to this measure, because no such property damage occurred.
- 2/ Bay State Gas Company's current spare component and acquisition inventory practice is to stock spare components and repair parts in its warehouses for every size gas main in their operating locations. When Bay State installs a new size gas main, spare components and repair parts for the new gas main are put into the inventory system. Bay State Gas Company believes it is critical to stock spare components and repair parts for its distribution system. Operations managers, supervisors, and engineers along with purchasing and warehouse personnel work together to stock these materials as described above.
- 3/ For historic data see Section 2, Page 5.
- 4/ No backup data has been included in the CY 2003 SQ Report, because no such accidents were reported.

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Two

Bay State Gas Company

D.T.E. 04-12 SUMMARY BSG SQ PERFORMANCE HISTORY PENALTY-RELATED MEASURES

SQI Measures						History 1/							TARGET		Weight
	2002 6/	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992	Sample Size	Mean (GOAL)	STD DEV (sample)	
SERVICE & BILLING: TSF 30 seconds - Non-emergency TSF 20 seconds - Non-emergency	70.5% 67.8%	75.8% 2/	70.0%	61.0%	69.0%							5 1	69.3%	5.3%	6.25%
TSF 30 seconds - Emergency calls TSF 20 seconds - Emergency calls ^{/3}	97.6% 91.4%	97.7% 2/	93.5%	96.2%	98.9%							5 1	96.8%	2.1%	6.25%
Service Appointments Kept	99.4%	97.6%	96.9%	97.7%	96.8%	96.3%						6	97.5%	1.1%	12.50%
On-Cycle Meter Reads	95.9%	92.8%	87.0%	90.7%	88.4%	87.2%	88.4%	90.7%	88.5%	85.9%		10 ^{/4}	89.6%	3.0%	10%
CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: Consumer Division Cases/1000 Cust.	1.3	1.2	2.5	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.0	1.7	10 ^{/5}	1.60	0.4	5%
Billing Adjustments \$\$\$/1000 Cust.	\$115.91	\$218.55	\$202.55	\$139.20	\$174.27	\$75.79	\$47.54	\$71.96	\$113.2	\$65.2	\$55.9	10 ^{/5}	\$116.42	\$63.58	5%
SAFETY: Lost Time Accident Rate	3.97	3.28	2.97	3.00	4.98	3.34	4.05	5.16				8	3.84	0.86	10%
Response To Odor Calls (< 1 hr.)	98.6%	98.3%	97%	99%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%		n/a	95%		45%

100.00%

Notes

- 1/ Years 1995-1999 reported on a Fiscal Year basis. 2000 reported on an annualized basis according to DTE guidelines, because the corporation moved from a Fiscal Year to a Calendar Year. 2001 and 2002 data is reported on an Calendar Year basis.
- 2/ Bay State does not have historical data for this measure prior to 2002. However, pursuant to the DTE's Letter Order dated May 28, 2002, Bay State ordered, installed and implemented a new data recording system to report Emergency Call data at 20 seconds in parallel to the 30 second reporting.
- 3/ The results for 2002 were based on the 3 months of data that were gathered once the system to record this measure was installed.
- 4/ The Order issued in DTE 99-84 stated that the target benchmark would be calculated using the most current 10 years of data. If 10 years of data is not available, the benchmark would be based on at least 3 years of data, which would be updated with new data each year until 10 years of data was available. The 10 years of data is now available for this measure. The Mean (target) and standard deviation for the On-Cycle Meter Reading is based on the years 1993-2002.
- 5/ The Order issued in DTE 99-84 stated that the target benchmark would be calculated using the most current 10 years of data. If 10 years of data is not available, the benchmark would be based on at least 3 years of data, which would be updated with new data each year until 10 years of data was available. The 10 years of data is now available for this measure. The Mean (target) and standard deviation for both the Customer Satisfaction measures (Consumer Division cases and Billing Adjustments) is based on the years 1992-2001.
- 6/ See the Company's response to data request DTE 3-07, Attachment A in Docket No. D.T.E. 03-10 for the most current 2002 reported performance data.

SUMMARY - BSG CAPITAL SPENDING HISTORY

	Capital Investment Approved	Capital Investment Completed 3/	Capital Investment Related to System Maintenance Completed 1/	
	Approveu	Completed 3/	Replacements	Other Operations 2/
2003	\$26,186,456	\$27,625,298	\$7,792,356	\$868,996
2002	\$29,834,642	\$30,172,843	\$7,041,564	\$877,677
2001	\$30,919,100	\$30,345,827	\$8,350,584	\$1,507,899
2000	n/a	\$33,736,573	\$8,440,293	\$355,511
1999	n/a	\$67,672,862	\$10,214,548	\$13,826,372
1998	n/a	\$38,863,794	\$10,378,019	\$2,219,611
1997	n/a	\$45,161,844	\$10,172,475	\$4,318,887
1996	n/a	\$35,913,533	\$7,720,601	\$2,394,570
1995	n/a	\$40,214,893	\$6,643,926	\$3,316,512
1994	n/a	\$41,335,366	\$11,675,532	\$2,732,421
1993	n/a	\$48,509,355	\$12,973,537	\$3,049,468
Mean 4/	\$28,980,066	\$39,959,290	\$9,218,494	\$3,224,357
Combined Mean 4/		\$1	2,377,235	

- 1/ See Appendix A for a summary description of each type of capital project the Company completed, including those projects that related to maintaining transmission and distribution reliability. A list of specific system reliability-related projects can generally be found under two major categories of work, including REPLACEMENTS/PROTECTION and OTHER OPERATIONS/TECHNICAL OPERATIONS/ENGINEERING & FACILITIES, respectively, depending on the year.
- 2/ System reliability-related projects that fall under the major category headings of OTHER OPERATIONS/TECHNICAL OPERATIONS/ENGINEERING & FACILITIES generally include the following subcategories: Regulators, Plant Work, Small Projects, and Special Projects. The Company notes, however, that is has not specifically identified only system reliability projects in each of these years.
- 3/ The Company notes that Capital Investment Completed figures include Total Overhead dollars, while Capital Investment Related to System Maintenance Completed does not.
- 4/ The Mean and Combined Mean are calculated using all data reported.

SUMMARY - BSG UNACCOUNTED FOR GAS HISTORY

(as reported in its Annual Report to the D.T.E. 1/)

<u>YEAR</u>	Gas Accounted For (MCF)	Gas Unaccounted For (MCF)	% Gas Unaccounted For
2003 2/	68,345,875	967,263	1.40%
2002 2/	68,773,728	-95,467	0.14%
2001	63,345,695	-299,313	-0.47%
2000	38,941,581	383,435	0.98%
1999	38,155,282	-182,456	-0.48%
1998	52,287,702	-146,610	-0.28%
1997	55,426,325	1,121,343	1.98%
1996	52,763,777	-706,193	-1.36%
1995	57,600,907	705,443	1.21%
1994	51,625,599	119,910	0.23%
1993	51,213,177	1,322,942	2.52%
1992	51,964,578	1,040,155	1.96%
Mean 3/	54,203,686	384,587	0.65%

Notes:

^{1/} See Page 72, Lines 21-23 of the Company's Annual Report to the D.T.E. for this information.

^{2/} The Company's current Annual Report to the D.T.E. is not available at the time of this filing. Accordingly, the Company used an internal report (i.e., Schedule 26) for this information.

^{3/} The Mean is calculated using all data reported.

SUMMARY BSG / NORTHERN STAFFING HISTORY 1/

FULL TIME AND PART TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEES DECEMBER 31, 1993 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003

	<u>1993</u>	<u>1994</u>	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000 2/</u>	<u>2001 2/</u>	<u>2002 2/</u>	<u>2003 2/</u>	Mean 3/
Total FT Head count	1,028	1,023	1,036	1,031	920	950	911	853	781	622	592	886
Total Part-time	-	49	26	-	57	52	26	23	20	13	24	

Notes: 1/ Neither Granite State nor temporary employees were included in these statistics.

^{2/} Reported staffing levels ending 12/31/00 through 12/31/03 do not reflect employees who still work in New England and are now NiSource Corporate Service employees, positions that were eliminated in New England and now performed in other locations, or temporary positions.

^{3/} The mean is calculated using all total FT headcount data reported.

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Two - Page 5

SUMMARY OF BSG CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY HISTORY

Residential Customer Survey

<u>YEAR</u>	RESULT
2002 /1	 6.2

Service Contact Survey

YEAR	RESULT
2002 /1	 6.5

YEAR	RESULT
2001	 90.60%
2000	 90.50%
1999	 91.70%
1998	 92.60%
1997	 93.30%
MEAN	91.74%

^{1/} The Order issued in DTE 99-84 specified the wording for the survey questions and established a new scaling system that first went into effect in 2002; therefore no mean has been calculated.

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Three

Telephone Service Factor

Percent of Non-Emergency and Emergency calls answered within 30 seconds (& 20 seconds, measured in parallel)

Non-Emergency Calls

Target (for 30 seconds): 69.3%

2003 Performance (for 30 seconds): 73%

	Number	Answered	Monthly	Period	% Answered
Month	of	within			within
	calls	30 seconds	Percent	Percent	20 seconds
Jan-03	83,017	48,993	59.0%	59.0%	55.0%
Feb-03	73,272	34,612	47.2%	53.5%	45.0%
Mar-03	80,261	35,982	44.8%	50.6%	43.4%
Apr-03	86,692	51,661	59.6%	53.0%	57.0%
May-03	99,337	58,975	59.4%	54.5%	57.5%
Jun-03	95,762	66,856	69.8%	57.3%	67.1%
Jul-03	82,401	73,796	89.6%	61.7%	86.8%
Aug-03	73,785	66,487	90.1%	64.8%	88.0%
Sep-03	78,245	70,153	89.7%	67.4%	88.2%
Oct-03	93,653	84,103	89.8%	69.9%	88.2%
Nov-03	68,920	63,295	91.8%	71.5%	89.6%
Dec-03	77,007	69,098	89.7%	73.0%	87.7%
Year 2003	992,352	724,012		73.0%	70.7%

Emergency Calls

Target (for 30 seconds): 96.8%

2003 Performance (for 30 seconds): 96.9%

	Number	Answered	Monthly	Period	% Answered
Month	of	within			within
	calls	30 seconds	Percent	Percent	20 seconds
Jan-03	5,599	5,267	94.1%	94.1%	90.3%
Feb-03	5,093	4,857	95.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Mar-03	4,459	4,362	97.8%	95.6%	95.0%
Apr-03	4,060	3,988	98.2%	96.2%	95.9%
May-03	4,561	4,494	98.5%	96.6%	95.2%
Jun-03	4,221	4,177	99.0%	97.0%	96.2%
Jul-03	3,711	3,637	98.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Aug-03	3,707	3,636	98.1%	97.2%	95.5%
Sep-03	4,120	4,003	97.2%	97.2%	94.4%
Oct-03	5,319	5,061	95.1%	96.9%	91.3%
Nov-03	5,222	5,053	96.8%	96.9%	93.1%
Dec-03	4,954	4,806	97.0%	96.9%	92.0%
Year 2003	55,026	53,341	96.9%	96.9%	93.5%

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Three - Page 2

Service Appointments Kept

Percent of service calls met on same day as appointment was scheduled with the customer.

Target: 97.5%

2003 Performance: 99.3%

	Number	Number met	Monthly	YTD
	of	same day		
Month	Appointments	scheduled	Percent	Percent
Jan-03	5,328	5,306	99.6%	99.6%
Feb-03	4,242	4,198	99.0%	99.3%
Mar-03	4,865	4,854	99.8%	99.5%
Apr-03	6,638	6,592	99.3%	99.4%
May-03	6,722	6,683	99.4%	99.4%
Jun-03	6,438	6,409	99.5%	99.4%
Jul-03	6,077	6,058	99.7%	99.5%
Aug-03	5,767	5,716	99.1%	99.4%
Sep-03	7,272	7,238	99.5%	99.4%
Oct-03	7,951	7,843	98.6%	99.3%
Nov-03	6,162	6,117	99.3%	99.3%
Dec-03	5,551	5,513	99.3%	99.3%
Year 2003	73,013	72,527		99.3%

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Three - Page 3

On-cycle Meter Reads

Percent of actual reads for all meters due to be read at cycle time.

Target: 89.6%

2003 Performance: 95.3%

Month	Total Meters	Total	Monthly	Period
	To Be Read	Actual Reads	Percent	Percent
Jan-03	279,256	255,462	91.5%	91.5%
Feb-03	281,971	268,401	95.2%	93.3%
Mar-03	280,053	268,610	95.9%	94.2%
Apr-03	279,055	266,875	95.6%	94.6%
May-03	278,777	267,846	96.1%	94.9%
Jun-03	283,653	272,225	96.0%	95.0%
Jul-03	279,394	269,348	96.4%	95.2%
Aug-03	280,272	266,909	95.2%	95.2%
Sep-03	277,883	267,325	96.2%	95.3%
Oct-03	279,487	269,174	96.3%	95.4%
Nov-03	280,706	269,610	96.0%	95.5%
Dec-03	278,422	267,663	96.1%	95.5%
Year 2003	2,520,314	2,403,001		95.3%

Section Three - Page 4

Consumer Division Cases (per 1000 customers)

Number of consumer cases recorded by the DTE Consumer Division

Target: 1.6 cases per 1000 customers

2003 Performance: 1.34 cases per 1000 customers

Month	DTE Cases
Jan-03	24
Feb-03	17
Mar-03	27
Apr-03	28
May-03	42
Jun-03	34
Jul-03	26
Aug-03	28
Sep-03	35
Oct-03	27
Nov-03	26
Dec-03	24
Year 2003	338

Total # residential customers at end of period	253,130
# cases per 1000 customers	1.34

Billing Adjustments

Revenue adjustment amount resulting from the DTE intervention in a billing dispute between Bay State Gas and a Residential customer

Target: \$116.42 per 1000 customers

2003 Performance: \$57.10

Month	Adjustments			
	per	1000 cust.		
Jan-03	\$	2,715.03		
Feb-03	\$	410.00		
Mar-03	\$	640.13		
Apr-03	\$	44.45		
May-03	\$	3,789.61		
Jun-03	\$	402.72		
Jul-03	\$	2,771.28		
Aug-03	\$	1,454.21		
Sep-03	\$	520.21		
Oct-03	\$	572.04		
Nov-03	\$	454.67		
Dec-03	\$	679.80		
Year 2003	\$	14,454.15		

Total # residential customers at end of period	253,130
# cases per 1000 customers	\$57.10

Lost Work Time Accident Rate (per 100 employees)

Lost Work Time Accident Rate - Incident Rate of Lost Work Time Injuries and Illness per 200,000 Employee Hours as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Target: 3.84 Lost Work Time Incidents per 100 employees

2003 Performance: 4.03

Restricted Work-Day Rate (per 100 employees) - Report Requirement Only

Restricted Work-Day Rate - Incident Rate of Restricted Work cases per 200,000 Employee Hours as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

		Lost	time	Restricte	ed work
		Number	Monthly	# restricted	Monthly
Month	Hours	DAW	Incident	work	Incident
	Worked	Incidents	Rate	Incidents	Rate
Jan-03	133,400	1.1	1.65	5	7.50
Feb-03	107,584	5	9.30	3	5.58
Mar-03	103,712	5	9.64	4	7.71
Apr-03	102,570	3	5.85	2	3.90
May-03	124,495	1	1.61	1	1.61
Jun-03	104,187	1	1.92	2	3.84
Jul-03	105,215	3	5.70	1	1.90
Aug-03	129,338	2	3.09	1	1.55
Sep-03	104,643	1	1.91	3	5.73
Oct-03	131,560	2	3.04	1	1.52
Nov-03	106,467	0.1	0.19	0	
Dec-03	105,638	3.2	6.06	4	7.57
Year 2003	1,358,809	27	4.03	27	3.97

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Three - Page 7

Response to Odor Calls

Percent of Odor Calls responded to in one hour or less.

Target: 95%

2003 Performance: 97.7%

	Number of	Number	Monthly	YTD	
		responded to			
Month	calls	calls within 1 hour		Percent	
Jan-03	2,169	2,135	98.4%	98.4%	
Feb-03	2,291	2,242	97.9%	98.1%	
Mar-03	1,791	1,758	98.2%	98.1%	
Apr-03	1,695	1,677	98.9%	98.3%	
May-03	1,989	1,947	97.9%	98.2%	
Jun-03	1,606	1,572	97.9%	98.2%	
Jul-03	1,366	1,345	98.5%	98.2%	
Aug-03	1,372	1,333	97.2%	98.1%	
Sep-03	1,588	1,551	97.7%	98.1%	
Oct-03	2,276	2,211	97.1%	97.9%	
Nov-03	2,121	2,061	97.2%	97.9%	
Dec-03	2,151	2,069	96.2%	97.7%	
Year 2003	22,415	21,901		97.7%	

Bay State Gas Company

Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Three - Page 8

Consumer Surveys - Report Requirement Only

Survey responses use a scale of 1 to 7 where: 1 = Very dissatisfied and 7 = Very satisfied

Contact Center Survey - Survey of customers randomly selected from those customers who contacted the Company's Customer Service Department within the year in which service is being measured.

MA Residential Customer Survey - Customer satisfaction of a statistically representative sample of Residential Customers.

			MA Residential	
Month	Contact Center	Rating	Customers	Rating
	Survey vol. 1/		Survey vol. 2/	
Jan-03	26	6.4	-	-
Feb-03	37	5.8	-	-
Mar-03	37	6.4	-	-
Apr-03	35	6.5	208	6.2
May-03	34	6.0	-	-
Jun-03	32	6.1	-	-
Jul-03	32	6.5	-	-
Aug-03	37	6.2	-	-
Sep-03	34	6.4	-	-
Oct-03	39	5.7	204	6.3
Nov-03	30	6.1	-	-
Dec-03	31	6.4	-	-
Year 2003	404	6.2	412	6.2

Notes:

- 1/ The Contact Center Survey was conducted by Wilkerson Associates, Louisville, KY.
- 2/ The MA Residential Customer Survey was conducted by Research Data Analysis, Inc., Bloomfield Hills, MI.

Bay State Gas Company

Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Section Three - Page 9

Customer Service Guarantees

Failure to keep appointments scheduled with the customer or failure to notify customers of a planned interruption (outage) will result in a \$25 credit to the customer.

Month	Appointments missed by >	Planned outages not	_	enalties edited to
I IIIOIIII	4hrs.	notified		ustomers
Jan-03	8	0	\$	200.00
Feb-03	7	0	\$	175.00
Mar-03	2	0	\$	50.00
Apr-03	0	0	\$	-
May-03	3	0	\$	75.00
Jun-03	10	0	\$	250.00
Jul-03	6	0	\$	150.00
Aug-03	9	0	\$	225.00
Sep-03	18	0	\$	450.00
Oct-03	23	0	\$	575.00
Nov-03	22	0	\$	550.00
Dec-03	19	0	\$	475.00
Year 2003	127	0	\$	3,175.00

Bay State Gas Company Service Quality Report for CY 2003 D.T.E. 04-12 Appendix A

Bay State Gas Company CALENDAR YEAR 2003 CAPITAL SPENDING DETAIL

	(Col 1)	(Col 2)	(Col 3)	(Col 4)	(Col 5)	(Col 6)	(Col 7)	(Col 1 + 6 + 7)		
	12 MONTH	12 MONTH	Year To Date		Adjustments	Revised		Year-End	2003	Variance
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	Variance	Remain Plan	to Remain Plan	Remain Plan	Transfers	Forecast	Plan	Over(Under)
GROWTH										
New Mains Installed										
Units	119,082	140,780	(21,698)	0	0	0	0	119,082	140,780	(21,698)
Unit Cost	\$12.39	\$9.27	\$3.12					\$12.39	\$9.27	\$3.12
Dollars	1,475,846	1,305,734	170,112	0	0	0	0	1,475,846	1,305,734	170,112
New Residential Service	0.550	0.000	450	0	0			0.550	0.000	450
Units Unit Cost	2,550 \$1,158.40	2,092 \$1,358.17	458 (\$199.77)	0	0	0	0	2,550 \$1,158.40	2,092 \$1,358.17	458 (\$199.77)
Dollars	2,953,919	2,841,300	112,619	0	0	0	0	2,953,919	2,841,300	112,619
New C&I Service	2,955,919	2,041,000	112,013	0	0	U	0	2,955,919	2,041,300	112,019
Units	437	530	(93)	0	0	0	0	437	530	(93)
Unit Cost	\$2,036.99	\$1,921.73	\$115.26					\$2,036.99	\$1,921.73	\$115.26 [°]
Dollars	890,166	1,018,518	(128,352)	0	0	0	0	890,166	1,018,518	(128,352)
New Residential Meters										
Units	3,277	3,320	(43)	0	0	0	0	3,277	3,320	(43)
Unit Cost	\$70.42	\$65.31	\$5.11					\$70.42	\$65.31	\$5.11
Dollars New C&I Meters	230,761	216,830	13,931	0	0	0	0	230,761	216,830	13,931
Units	296	541	(245)	0	0	0	0	296	541	(245)
Unit Cost	\$1,367.34	\$1,227.02	\$140.32	0	0	0	0	\$1,367.34	\$1,227.02	\$140.32
Dollars	404,734	663,819	(259,085)	0	0	0	0	404,734	663,819	(259,085)
ВМІР	92,550	0	92,550	0	0	0	0	92,550	0	92,550
SPECIAL PROJECTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Growth	6,047,976	6,046,201	1,775	0	0	0	0	6,047,976	6,046,201	1,775
RENTALS										
New Resid CB										
Units	34	83	(49)	0	0	0	0	34	83	(49)
Unit Cost	\$1,266.56	\$1,231.08	\$35.47					\$1,266.56	\$1,231.08	\$35.47
Dollars	43,063	102,180	(59,117)	0	0	0	0	43,063	102,180	(59,117)
New C&I CB			(10)							(40)
Units	0	\$6,234.83	(12)	0	0	0	0	0	12	(12)
Unit Cost Dollars	8,993	74,818	(65,825)	0	0	0	0	8,993	\$6,234.83 74,818	(65,825)
New Water Heaters	0,993	74,010	(03,023)	0	0	0	0	0,993	74,010	(03,023)
Units	1,339	1,722	(383)	0	0	0	0	1,339	1,722	(383)
Unit Cost	\$600.73	\$574.43	\$26.30		-	-	-	\$600.73	\$574.43	\$26.30
Dollars	804,380	989,168	(184,788)	0	0	0	0	804,380	989,168	(184,788)
Replacement Water Heater										
Units	2,007	2,580	(573)	0	0	0	0	2,007	2,580	(573)
Unit Cost	\$521.26	\$509.97	\$11.29 (269,547)	0	0	0	0	\$521.26	\$509.97	\$11.29
Dollars	1,046,176	1,315,723					0	1,046,176	1,315,723	(269,547)
Total Rentals	1,902,612	2,481,889	(579,277)	0	0	0	0	1,902,612	2,481,889	(579,277)
METER WORK										
Upgrade Meter Fits										
Units	2,228	1,565	663	0	0	0	0		1,565	663
Unit Cost	\$135.21	\$230.33	(\$95.12)					\$135.21	\$230.33	(\$95.12)
Dollars Instrumentation	301,246	360,469	(59,223)	0	0	0	0	301,246	360,469	(59,223)
Units	231	427	(196)	0	0	0	0	231	427	(196)
Unit Cost	\$55.24	\$91.96	(\$36.72)					\$55.24	\$91.96	(\$36.72)
Dollars	12,760	39,265	(26,505)	0	0	0	0	12,760	39,265	(26,505)
Relocate Meter Fits			/							/
Units	165	501	(336)	0	0	0	0	165	501	(336)
Unit Cost	\$130.87	\$169.84	(\$38.97)					\$130.87	\$169.84	(\$38.97)
Dollars	21,594	85,089	(63,495)	0	0	0	0	21,594	85,089	(63,495)
Residential Metscan	6	0	6	0	0		_		0	
Units Unit Cost	6 \$459.83	0	6	0	0	0	0	6 \$459.83	0	6
Dollars	2,759	0	2,759	0	0	0	0	2,759	0	2,759
C&I Metscan	2,100	0	2,100	0	0			2,739	0	2,700
Units	13	535	(522)	0	0	0	0	13	535	(522)
Unit Cost	\$643.85	\$152.13	\$491.72	-				\$643.85	\$152.13	\$491.72
Dollars	8,370	81,388	(73,018)	0	0	0	0	8,370	81,388	(73,018)
Total Meter Work	346,729	566,211	(219,482)	0	0	0	0	346,729	566,211	(219,482)

Bay State Gas Company CALENDAR YEAR 2003 CAPITAL SPENDING DETAIL

	(Col 1)	(Col 2)	(Col 3)	(Col 4)	(Col 5)	(Col 6)	(Col 7)	(Col 1 + 6 + 7)		
	12 MONTH	12 MONTH	Year To Date		Adjustments	Revised		Year-End	2003	Variance
	ACTUAL	BUDGET	Variance	Remain Plan	to Remain Plan	Remain Plan	Transfers	Forecast	Plan	Over(Under)
REPLACEMENTS										
Replacement Mains										
Units	79,966	81,266	(1,300)	0	0	0	0	79,966	81,266	(1,300)
Unit Cost	\$50.95	\$37.03	\$13.91					\$50.95	\$37.03	\$13.91
Dollars	4,073,916	3,009,359	1,064,557	0	0	0	0	4,073,916	3,009,359	1,064,557
Replacement Resid Serv Units	1,678	1,954	(276)	0	0	0	0	1,678	1,954	(276)
Unit Cost	\$1,278.03	\$967.02	\$311.00	0	0	0	0	\$1,278.03	\$967.02	\$311.00
Dollars	2,144,528	1,889,658	254,870	0	0	0	0	2,144,528	1,889,658	254,870
Replacement C&I Serv	2,, 525	1,000,000	20 1,07 0		-	-		2,, 020	.,000,000	201,010
Units	202	137	65	0	0	0	0	202	137	65
Unit Cost	\$577.79	\$1,511.90	(\$934.11)					\$577.79	\$1,511.90	(\$934.11)
Dollars	116,714	207,130	(90,416)	0	0	0	0	116,714	207,130	(90,416)
Uprate										
Units	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Cost										
Dollars	(654)	0	(654)	0	0	0	0	(654)	0	(654)
Joint Sealing	000	744	(45)					000	744	(45)
Units	696	741	(45) \$384.73	0	0	0	0	696	741	(45)
Unit Cost	\$868.57	\$483.83		0	0	0	0	\$868.57	\$483.83	\$384.73
Dollars Keyholing	604,522	358,519	246,003	0	U	0	U	604,522	358,519	246,003
Units	702	850	(148)	0	0	0	0	702	850	(148)
Unit Cost	\$323.33	\$333.29	(\$9.96)	0	0	0	0	\$323.33	\$333.29	(\$9.96)
Dollars	226,978	283,295	(56,317)	0	0	0	0	226,978	283,295	(56,317)
Cathodic Protection	220,010	200,200	(00,011)	<u> </u>	- C	J		220,010	200,200	(00,011)
Units	171	330	(159)	0	0	0	0	171	330	(159)
Unit Cost	\$1,198.82	\$960.13	\$238.69					\$1,198.82	\$960.13	\$238.69 [°]
Dollars	204,999	316,844	(111,845)	0	0	0	0	204,999	316,844	(111,845)
Tie-Overs										
Units	551	1,017	(466)	0	0	0	0	551	1,017	(466)
Unit Cost	\$684.93	\$517.73	\$167.20					\$684.93	\$517.73	\$167.20
Dollars	377,399	526,536	(149,137)	0	0	0	0	377,399	526,536	(149,137)
Misc- Meter Barriers	005	005	(4.40)					005	005	(4.40)
Units	225	365	(140)	0	0	0	0	225	365	(140)
Unit Cost Dollars	\$120.04 27,009	\$141.25 51,558	(\$21.21) (24,549)	0	0	0	0	\$120.04 27,009	\$141.25 51,558	(\$21.21) (24,549)
Special Projects	16,945	624,234	(607,289)	0	0	0	0	16,945	624,234	(607,289)
EXPECTED SAVINGS 2003	10,943	024,234	007,203)	0	0	0	0	10,943	024,234	(007,209)
Total Replacements	7,792,356	7,267,133	525.223	0	0	0	0	7,792,356	7,267,133	525,223
	1,192,330	7,207,133	323,223	U	U	U	U	7,792,330	1,201,133	525,225
OTHER OPERATIONS										
Regulators	1,192,612	280,000	912,612	0	0	0	0	1,192,612	280,000	912,612
Plant Work	354,764	158,500	196,264	0	0	0	0	354,764	158,500	196,264
Transportation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meter Purchases	354,421	625,909	(271,488)	0	0	0	0	354,421	625,909	(271,488)
Small Projects	1,620	0	1,620	0	0	0	0	1,620	0	1,620
Special Projects	(680,000)	0	(680,000)	0	0	0	0	(680,000)	0	(680,000)
ERT MASS & NH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Other Operations	1,223,417	1,064,409	159,008	0	0	0	0	1,223,417	1,064,409	159,008
TECHNOLOGY	461,895	1,405,620	(943,725)	0	0	0	(4,327)	457,568	1,405,620	(948,052)
	. ,	,,-	(/		-	-	\ /- /	,	,,-	(/ - /)
OTHER GENERAL										
Office Equipment	12,085	0	12,085	0	0	0	^	12,085	0	12,085
Other Equipment	230,160	240,926	(10,766)	0	0	0	0	230,160	240,926	(10,766)
Small Projects	147,890	240,926	147,890	0	0	0	0	147,890	240,926	147,890
Total Other	390,135	240,926	149,209	0				390,135	240,926	149,209
			***************************************		0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
Overheads	5,700,349	3,489,205	2,211,144	0	0	0	0	5,700,349	3,489,205	2,211,144
Indirect Supv & Non Prod	3,759,829	3,624,862	134,967	0	0	0	0	3,759,829	3,624,862	134,967
T. (10 1 :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.046.444
Total Overheads	9,460,178	7,114,067	2,346,111	0	0	0	0	9,460,178	7,114,067	2,346,111
Tatal I DO Carrital	27 605 202	00 400 450	4 400 040	^	^	^	(4.007)	07 600 074	20,400,450	1 101 515
Total LDC Capital	27,625,298	26,186,456	1,438,842	0	0	0	(4,327)	27,620,971	26,186,456	1,434,515